

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/920,330	08/02/2001	Yuki Satoh	43890-534	5004
20277 759	90 03/07/2005		EXAMINER	
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP 600 13TH STREET, N.W.			HONG, HARRY S	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2642	
			DATE MAILED 02/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/920,330	SATOH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Harry S. Hong	2642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a proceed of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 August 2001.						
,	is action is non-final.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 August 2001</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination The Section 11).	e: a) accepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ection is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)		·				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 8) 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/920,330 Page 2

Art Unit: 2642

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

2. Figure 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 09/920,330 Page 3

Art Unit: 2642

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato et al. (Kato; US 6,448,868 B2; cited and applied for the first time).

The claimed invention reads on Kato as follows. Refer to one of the two radio frequency switches in FIG. 1 of Kato where the claimed first diode reads on D11 since it is connected between a transmission terminal (Tx 1) and an antenna terminal (ANT 1). The claimed low pass filter reads on the filter 32 since it is connected between the first diode and the antenna terminal and is in series with the first diode. The claimed high pass filter reads on the filter 33 since it is connected between the low pass filter side of the first diode and a reception terminal Rx 1. The claimed second diode reads on D12 since it has one end grounded and the other end connected between the reception terminal and the filter 33.

Application/Control Number: 09/920,330 Page 4

Art Unit: 2642

The only difference between Kato and the claimed invention is that the filter 33 of Kato is not specified by name as a high pass filter. However, it is well known in the circuit design art that substituting any filter (low, high, band) is a matter of pure design choice based on the operating frequency of the subject circuit. Therefore, it would have been obvious even to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the switch of Kato by substituting a high pass filter with the filter 33 of Kato, since Kato already teaches a filter.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kowalewski, Ruppel, Zametzer et al., Minasi ('411), Kommrusch et al., Kameyama et al., Nakatuka, Minasi ('995), Yamamoto et al., Nosswitz, Kawakyu et al., and Muto et al. all teach aspects of radio frequency switching.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harry S. Hong whose telephone number is (703) 306-3040. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad F. Matar can be reached on (703) 305-4731. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/920,330

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2642

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Harry S. Hong

Page 5

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2642

March 5, 2005